

From: [Moore, Gary](#)
To: [Hope, Ginny](#)
Cc: [Gee, Jo](#)
Subject: Re: 0702-062 CB&I November Progress Report. Please review and respond by COB on Monday, 01/12/15. Thank you.
Date: Tuesday, January 06, 2015 1:06:34 PM

Gary Moore
Federal On-Scene Coordinator
U.S. EPA Region 6
214-789-1627 cell
214-665-6609 office
moore.gary@epa.gov

From: Hope, Ginny
Sent: Monday, January 5, 2015 3:07 PM
To: Moore, Gary
Cc: Gee, Jo
Subject: 0702-062 CB&I November Progress Report. Please review and respond by COB on Monday, 01/12/15. Thank you.

*Instructions: The OSC or Task Monitor shall review the monthly report attached to this e-mail. Please complete the form and respond back to **all with history** – within 5 business days or the date in the Subject line: The e-mail traffic will provide date and task monitor name for documentation purposes.*

ERRS MONTHLY PROGRESS REPORT REVIEW
Contract EP-S6-07-02

CB&I

Site Name: CES Environmental, Inc.

Task Order: 0702-062 Reporting Period: November 2014

I have reviewed the contractor's monthly progress report and have determined:

 X Site specific monthly progress report is acceptable as presented. No changes or modifications are required at this time.

 Additional supporting data was requested from the contractor for the monthly progress report. The revised monthly progress report was received on (date). Provide comments.

COMMENTS: The project work is generally being done in a satisfactory manner. The actions taken are not necessarily always done in an efficient and cost effective manner and those are discussed with the Response Manager so they can be corrected. One big issue is the procurement process. I have requested detailed documentation of the procurement process approximately 3 months ago and still have not received this information. The costs associated with procurement are extensive and it would appear they are designed to be to be inefficient so that additional hours can be charged to the project. The contractor will say that it is being done to comply with their DCAA approved purchasing system. I continue to remind the contractor that the contract is named "Emergency and Rapid Response Services". There is nothing about the procurement process that is emergent or rapid. The T&D Coordinator is responsive and efficient. The RM is responsive but is hampered by the procurement process. The crew is responsive but it appears that cost effective decisions are not always made and there seems to be a lack of urgency to get the job without constant oversight.

Ref. Clause G.20 Performance

Ginny Hope

U.S.EPA -6SF-VC

1445 Ross Avenue

Dallas, TX 75202-2733

214:665-6487

Fax: 214:665-6660